

Publications in Gastroenterology



GERD/BARRETT'S ESOPHAGUS

Significantly higher per-patient pCLE pooled sensitivity, specificity, and NPV of 96%,	DeMeester S, et al. High definition probe-based confocal laser endomicroscopy review and meta-analysis for neoplasia detection in Barrett's esophagus. Techniques and Innovations in Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2022.
93% , 98% , compared to random biopsies	Meta-analysis on 9 studies, 688 patients and 1,299 lesions
2x more Barrett's Esophagus detected compared to Seattle protocol	Richardson C, et al. Real-time diagnosis of Barrett's esophagus: a prospective, multicenter study comparing confocal laser endomicroscopy with conventional histology for the identification of intestinal metaplasia in new users, Surgical Endoscopy, 2019.
	172 patients with Barrett's Esophagus, 8 centers
Improved the treatment plan in 36% of patients	Canto M I, et al. In vivo endomicroscopy improves detection of Barrett's esophagus-related neoplasia: a multicenter international randomized controlled trial. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2013.
	192 patients with Barrett's Esophagus, 5 centers
2x more dysplastic lesions detected compared to WLE	Sharma P, et al. Real-time increased Detection of Neoplastic Tissue in Barrett's Esophagus with Probe-based Confocal Laser Endomicroscopy: Final results of a Multi-center Prospective International Randomized
1.7x more lesions detected compared to Narrow Band Imaging	101 patients with BE, 5 international centers
Associated with lower health services utilization of endoscopy, anesthesia, biopsy.	Randhawa N, et al. The Relationship Between Patient Satisfaction and Use of Healthcare Services in Patients With Barrett's Esophagus Using Confocal Laser Endomicroscopy versus Standard of Care, ACG 2022 abstract

60 patients with Barrett's Esophagus, 5 centers

🥂 Other publications

• Al-Mansour M R, et al. SAGES TAVAC safety and efficacy analysis confocal laser endomicroscopy. Surg Endosc, 2020.

- Xiong Y-Q, et al. Comparison of narrow-band imaging and confocal laser endomicroscopy for the detection of neoplasia in Barrett's Esophagus : A meta-analysis. Clinics and Research in Hepatology and Gastroenterol, 2018.
- Neumann H, et al. Confocal Laser Endomicroscopy for Diagnosis of Barrett's Esophagus. Frontiers in Oncology, 2012.
- Johnson E A, et al. Probe-Based Confocal Laser Endomicroscopy to Guide Real-Time Endoscopic Therapy in Barrett's Esophagus with Dysplasia. Case Report Gastroenterology, 2012.
- Bertani H, et al. Improved Detection of Incident Dysplasia by Probe-Based Confocal Laser Endomicroscopy in a Barrett's Esophagus Surveillance Program. Digestive Diseases and Sciences, 2012.
- Wallace M B, et al. Multicenter, randomized, controlled trial of confocal laser endomicroscopy assessment of residual metaplasia after mucosal ablation or resection of GI neoplasia in Barett's esophagus. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2012.
- Gaddam S, et al. Novel Probe-Based Confocal Laser Endomicroscopy Criteria and Interobserver Agreement for the Detection of Dysplasia in Barrett's Esophagus. The American Journal of Gastroenterology, 2011.
- Konda V J, et al. Confocal Laser Endomicroscopy: potential in the Management of Barrett's Esophagus. Diseases of the Esophagus, 2010.
- Wallace M B, et al. Preliminary Accuracy and Interobserver Agreement for the Detection of Intraepithelial Neoplasia in Barrett's Esophagus with Probe-based Confocal Laser Endomicroscopy. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2010.

Cellvizio® Image Patterns



Squamous Epithelium

ablation, and higher patient satisfaction.



Intestinal Metaplasia



Adenocarcinoma



Publications in Gastroenterology

Palazzo M et al Impact of needle-based confocal laser endomicroscopy



PANCREATIC CYSTS

206 patients with cysts	9. (CONTACT 2).
nCLE identified mucinous PCLs with: high sensitivity of 98%, 94% specificity and 97% accuracy Krishna S G, et al. Endos Endomicroscopy Increas Cystic Lesions, Clinical G 144 patients with a susp	copic Ultrasound-Guided Confocal Laser ses Accuracy of Differentiation of Pancreatic Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2019. Dected PCL (≥20 mm)
Incle could reduce up to 23% of surgical Le Pen C, et al. A health of Laser Endomicroscopy for Interventions	economic evaluation of needle-based Confocal or the diagnosis of pancreatic cysts. Endoscopy 7.
Adding nCLE led to a net savings of \$442,438 (mean: \$4,757.40/patient)	efit Analysis and Resource Implications of Juided Confocal Endomicroscopy in Pancreas Inovations in Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2021.
EUS-TTNB and EUS-nCLE were better choices for the diagnosis of PCLs Li SY, et al. Comparative Techniques in Patients W Analysis. The American A meta-analysis of studie	Performance of Endoscopic Ultrasound-Based /ith Pancreatic Cystic Lesions: A Network Meta- Journal of Gastroenterology, 2023.

🍼 Other publications

- Machicado J D, et al. Accuracy and agreement of a large panel of endosonographers for endomicroscopy-guided virtual biopsy of pancreatic cystic lesions. Pancreatology, 2022.
- Singh R R, et al. Risk Stratification of Pancreatic Cysts With Confocal Laser Endomicroscopy. Gastro Hep Advances, 2022.
- Machicado J D, et al. High performance in risk stratification of intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms by confocal laser endomicroscopy image analysis with convolutional neural networks (with video). Gastrointest Endosc, 2021.
- Napoléon B, et al. Confocal Endomicroscopy for the Evaluation of Pancreatic Cystic Lesions: A Systematic Review and an International Delphi Consensus Report. Endoscopy International Open, 2020.
- Al-Mansour, et al. SAGES TAVAC safety and efficacy analysis confocal laser endomicroscopy. Surg Endosc, 2020.
- Napoléon B, et al. Needle-based confocal laser endomicroscopy of pancreatic cystic lesions: a prospective multicenter validation study in patients with definite diagnosis, Endoscopy, 2018. (CONTACT 2)
- Napoléon B, et al. New horizons in the endoscopic ultrasonography-based diagnosis of pancreatic cystic lesions. World J Gastroenterol, 24(26), 2853–2866, 2018.
- Napoléon B, et al. A novel approach to the diagnosis of pancreatic cystadenoma: needle-based confocal laser endomicroscopy, Endoscopy, 2015. (CONTACT 1)
- Nakai Y, et al. Diagnosis of pancreatic cysts: EUS-guided, through-the-needle confocal laser-induced endomicroscopy and cystoscopy trial: DETECT study. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2015.
- Konda V J A, et al. A pilot study of in vivo identification of pancreatic cystic neoplasms with needle-based confocal laser endomicroscoscopy under endosonographic guidance. Endoscopy, 2013. (INSPECT)

Cellvizio® Image Patterns



Serous cystadenoma



IPMN



Publications \/17 in Gastroenterology CELLS. CHANGE LIVES.



GASTRIC DISEASE

- 96.9% sensitivity for the diagnosis of superficial gastric neoplasia with pCLE and biopsy versus 75% sensitivity with conventional biopsy
- pCLE classification of gastric pit patterns and vessel architecture could predict Atrophicgastritis, GIM and neoplasia with sensitivity of at least 89% and specificity of 99% with substantial inter-observer agreement (k:0.7)
- Pooled 92% sensitivity and 97% specificity for the diagnosis of gastric intestinal metaplasia with CLE

Bok G H, et al. The accuracy of probe-based confocal endomicroscopy versus conventional endoscopic biopsies for the diagnosis of superficial gastric neoplasia (with videos). Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2013.

46 patients - diagnosis of superficial gastric neoplasia - 1 center

Li Z, et al. New Classification of Gastric Pit Patterns and Vessel Architecture Using Probe-based Confocal Laser Endomicroscopy. J Clin Gastroenterol, 2015.

32 patients - surveillance of gastric intestinal metaplasia - 1 center

Zhang H-P, et al. The diagnostic value of confocal laser endomicroscopy for gastric cancer and precancerous lesions among Asian population: a system review and meta-analysis. Scand J Gastroenterol, 52(4), 382–388, 2017.

4000+ lesions - surveillance of gastric intestinal metaplasia - 23 studies



- Lim L G, et al. Comparison of probe-based confocal endomicroscopy with virtual chromoendoscopy and white-light endoscopy for diagnosis of gastric intestinal metaplasia. Surgical endoscopy, 2013.
- Pittayanon R, et al. Flexible spectral imaging color enhancement plus probe-based confocal laser endomicroscopy for gastric intestinal metaplasia detection. Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, 2013.
- Pittayanon R, et al. The learning curve of gastric intestinal metaplasia interpretation on the images obtained by probebased confocal laser endomicroscopy (pCLE). Diagnostic and Therapeutic Endoscopy, 2012.
- Pittayanon R, et al. Role of Confocal Laser Endomicroscopy for the Detection of early Gastrointestinal Malignancy. Thai Journal Gastroenterology, 2011.

IRRITABLE BOWEL SYNDROME - FOOD INTOLERANCE

→69 the	9.5% of patients improved with food exclusion erapy and 13% were symptom-free according	Gjini B, et al. Food intolerance in patients with functional abdominal pain: Evaluation through endoscopic confocal laser endomicroscopy. Endoscopy Int Open, 2023.
to CLE.	34 patients, observational study	
	ore than 50% of patients with IBS could we an atypical food allergy, with negative sults from skin tests and serologic analysis of	Fritscher-Ravens A, et al. Many Patients With Irritable Bowel Syndrome Have Atypical Food Allergies Not Associated With Immunoglobulin E. Gastroenterology, 2019. 108 patients, prospective study
im	imunoglobulin E.	
	E showed a real-time response to food antigens 22 of 36 patients.	Associated Changes in the Intestinal Mucosa of Patients With Irritable Bowel Syndrome. Endoscopy Int Open, 2014.
Ę	COLORECTAL LESIONS	
$\rightarrow 10$	00% sensitivity and NPV combination of pCLE	Shahid M W, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of probe-based confocal laser endomicroscopy in detecting residual colorectal neoplasia after EMR: a prospective study. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2012.
recurrence	92 patients - post-EMR control 3 international centers	
93.3% of neoplastic lesions accurately characterized	Wanders L K, et al. Diagnostic performance of narrowed spectrum endoscopy, autofluorescence imaging, and confocal laser endomicroscopy for optical diagnosis of colonic polyps: a meta-analysis. Lancet, 2013.	
	Meta analysis on 11 studies 1319 lesions	
	eal-time CLE, had a pooled sensitivity and pecificity of 91% and 97% for characterization	Lord R, et al. Colonic lesion characterisation in inflammatory bowel disease A systematic review and meta-analysis. World J Gastroenterol, 2018.
in patients with colonic IBD	1491 patients (4674 polyps)	

Cellvizio[®] TME IN VIVO CELLULAR IMAGING

Publications in Gastroenterology



INFLAMMATORY BOWEL DISEASES

- Increased epithelial gap density in IBD patients is an endoscopic predictor of relapse within 12 months
- CLE allows for the early prediction of relevant clinical outcomes being hospitalization or surgery
- Severity of mucosal barrier dysfunction on pCLE resulted in significant reductions in the number, length of stay and total days of IBDrelated hospitalizations
- -> CLE barrier healing was highly accurate for predicting the further course of disease and exceeded endoscopic and histologic remission for predicting a survival free of major adverse outcomes

Turcotte JF, et al. Increased Epithelial Gaps in the Small Intestine Are Predictive of Hospitalization and Surgery in Patients with Inflammatory Bowel Disease. Clinical and Translational Gastroenterology, 2012.

41 patients (21 Crohn's disease and 20 Ulcerative Colitis), 1 center

Tontini GE, et al. Prediction of clinical outcomes in Crohn's disease by using confocal laser endomicroscopy: results from a prospective multicenter study. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2017.

49 CD patients, 2 centers, retrospective study

Julia J. Liu et al. Personalized Inflammatory Bowel Disease Care Reduced Hospitalizations. Digestive Diseases and Sciences, 2019.

>100 patients

Rath T, et al. Intestinal Barrier Healing Is Superior to Endoscopic and Histologic Remission for Predicting Major Adverse Outcomes in Inflammatory Bowel Disease: The Prospective ERIca Trial. Gastroentorlogy, 2022.

181 patients (100 Crohn's disease and 81 Ulcerative Colitis), long-term prospective study



- Al-Mansour MR, et al. SAGES TAVAC safety and efficacy analysis confocal laser endomicroscopy. Surg Endosc, 2020.
- Karstensen J, et al. Confocal laser endomicroscopy in ulcerative colitis: a longitudinal study of endomicroscopic changes and response to medical therapy (with videos). Gastrointestinal Endoscopy 2016.
- Musquer N, et al. Probe-based confocal laser endomicroscopy: A new method for guantitative analysis of pit structure in healthy and Crohn's disease patients. Digestive and Liver Disease, 2013.
- Liu JJ, et al. Increased Epithelial Gaps in the Small Intestines of Patients with Inflammatory Bowel Disease: Density Matters. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy, 2011.
- Neumann H, et al. Prospective Evaluation of the Learning Curve of Confocal Laser Endomicroscopy in Patients with IBD. Histology and Histopathology, 2011.
- Palma GD, et al. In-vivo Characterization of DALM in Ulcerative Colitis with High-Resolution Probe-based Confocal Laser Endomicroscopy. World Journal Gastroenterology, 2011.
- Neumann H, et al. Cancer Risk in IBD: How to Diagnose and How to Manage DALM and ALM. World Journal Gastroenterology, 2011.

Cellvizio[®] Image Patterns



Healthy Mucosa



CD mucosal barrier dysfunction



Dysplasia/cancer

Cellvizio[®] 100 Series and I.V.E Systems with Confocal Miniprobes[™] are regulated Medical Device, CE marked (CE 0459) (Class IIa - NB :G-MED) and FDA cleared. Cellvizio[®] is a registered trademark and Confocal Miniprobe[™] is a trademark of Mauna Kea Technologies. Cellvizio[®] 100 Series and I.V.E Systems with Confocal Miniprobes[™] are confocal laser systems with fiber ontic probes that are intended to all the trademark of the structure o Confocal Miniprobes[™] are confocal laser systems with fiber optic probes that are intended to allow imaging of the internal microstructure of tissues including, but not limited to, the identification of cells and vessels and their organization or architecture. These statements and the associated reference to specific clinical studies, are not intended to represent claims of safety or effectiveness for detecting or treating any specific condition or disease state. Rather this information is intended to provide useful reference to selected published literature describing physician experiences with the associated clinical uses. Any diagnostic 🞖 assessment should always be made by the attending physician, based on the evaluation of all sources of clinical, endoscopic and other relevant information. These statements have not been reviewed, cleared, or approved by the U.S. FDA. The use of this medical device is exclusively reserved for healthcare professionals.